

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---|---------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/646,715 | 11/16/2000 | Timothy G.J. Jones | 57.0272PCT | 6188 | |
| 75 | 90 09/05/2002 | | | | |
| Maryam Bani Jamali Schlumberger Technology Corporation 110 Schlumberger Drive MD1 | | | EXAMINER | | |
| | | | LIPMAN, BERNARD | | |
| Sugar Land, TX 77478 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 1713 | 10 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 09/05/2002 | 10 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| • . | | | | [4]) |
|---|--|--|---|---------------|
| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | (h) |
| | | 09/646,715 | JONES ET AL. | |
| ્ધ | Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| ť | , | | 1713 | |
| | The MAILING DATE of this communication app | Bernard Lipman ears on the cover sheet | | ress |
| Period for | | | | |
| THE M - Extens after S - If the p - If NO p - Failure - Any rep | RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY AILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 (X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. eriod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply eriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, obly received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 36(a). In no event, however, may within the statutory minimum of the vill apply and will expire SIX (6) Mit, cause the application to become | a reply be timely filed nirty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this con ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | nmunication. |
| 1)⊠ | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 J | luly 2002 . | | |
| , | · · · · | is action is non-final. | | |
| 3)□ | Since this application is in condition for allowardosed in accordance with the practice under | ance except for formal m | | merits is |
| | n of Claims | • | | |
| 4)⊠ (| Claim(s) 10-48 is/are pending in the application | n. | | |
| 4 | a) Of the above claim(s) <u>10-28 (duplicates of r</u> | <u>renumbered 29-47)</u> is/ar | e withdrawn from considerat | ion. |
| 5) 🗌 (| Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | |
| 6)⊠ (| Claim(s) <u>29-48</u> is/are rejected. | | | |
| 7) 🗌 (| Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | |
| 8)⊠ (Applicatio | Claim(s) <u>29-48</u> are subject to restriction and/or on Papers | election requirement. | | |
| 9)□ T | he specification is objected to by the Examine | r. | | |
| 10)□ T | ne drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accep | oted or b) objected to by | the Examiner. | |
| | Applicant may not request that any objection to the | e drawing(s) be held in abe | eyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | |
| 11)∐ TI | he proposed drawing correction filed on | _ is: a)□ approved b)□ | disapproved by the Examine | : |
| | If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep | _ | | |
| 12)∐ Ti | he oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | aminer. | | |
| • | nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | |
| • — | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign | n priority under 35 U.S.C | c. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | |
| a)[_ |] All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of: | | | |
| | Certified copies of the priority documents | | | |
| 2 | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents | | | |
| | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bure the attached detailed Office action for a list | reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)) |). | tage |
| | knowledgment is made of a claim for domesti | · | | application). |
| а) | The translation of the foreign language procknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti | visional application has | been received. | 11 |
| ے استرات () Attachment | - | o priority under do d.o. | J. 33 120 MIM/OF 121. | |
| 1) Notice 2) Notice | of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | 5) Notice | w Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s of Informal Patent Application (PTO | |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Serial No. 09/646,715

Art Unit 1713

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

A specific combination of identified structural backbone of the polymer with specific hydrophobic entities specified along with functionalities for each and in combination with specific crosslinking agent.

Applicants are required under 35 U.S.C. § 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 29 as renumbered is generic.

Applicants are advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicants will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicants must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

\$erial No. 09/646,715
Art Unit 1713

Should applicants traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicants should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) of the other invention.

- 2. Applicants are notified that the claims presented in the amendment accompanying the request for CPA have been renumbered from the submitted numbers 10-29 to the amended numbers according to Rule 126 of 29-48. This is because of the difference in these claims represented by the additional claim over the claims presented previously, but not entered, which had been numbered 10-28. These non-entered claims should be officially cancelled and the new claims renumbered 29-48 as has been done here in this application.
- 3. Claims 29-48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph as broader than one of ordinary skill in the art is enabled to practice the invention. The claims continue to be broader than one of ordinary skill in the art is enabled, from the disclosure, to practice the invention insofar as one of ordinary skill in the art is only given enough information to practice the claimed method using specific polymers with

Serial No. 09/646,715

Art Unit 1713

backbones as identified in the disclosure in combination with hydrophobic entities identified and specific types of cross-linking. Only the combination of all three things are enabled to one of ordinary skill in the art. The claims, however, continue to read on broad areas of functionality as well as non-enabled combinations of functionalities between the polymers and the cross-linking functionalities. The claims are, therefore, still properly rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph.

This rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 112 is made in order to expedite prosecution of this application. Consideration of the prior art is held in abeyance pending resolution of the election requirement presented herein.

B. Lipman:cdc

(703) 308-0661

September 3, 2002

BERNARD LIPMAN PRIMARY EXAMINER